



**DELAWARE HEALTH
AND SOCIAL SERVICES**
Division of Public Health

Delaware Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Ranking Criteria

Name of Project:	
Name of Municipality or Qualified Agency:	
Date of Review:	
Reviewed By:	

If the answer is **yes**, award the maximum points allowed.

Item	Criteria	Yes	No	Max Points	Points Awarded
2a	Growth: Is this project primarily for growth? If yes, it is not an eligible project and review can end here.			0	
2b	Affordability/Disadvantaged Community Considerations:				
	Divide the Average Annual Household Water Bill by the Median Household Income and multiply by 100%: 2.50% or higher?			75	
2b	2.00 – 2.49%			50	
2b	1.50 – 1.99%			25	
2b	Less than 1.50%			0	
2b	The project is identified by the EPA EJScreen Tool at 90% (USA) Percentile or Higher for Environmental Justice Indexes or for “Low Income” under the Socioeconomic Indexes.			25	
2b	The project is identified as disadvantaged by the White House Climate and Economic Screening Tool.			25	
2b	The project is considered underserved, meaning it does not have household drinking water or wastewater services; or is served by a public water system that violates, or exceeds, as applicable, a requirement of a national primary drinking water regulation issued under section 1412, including— (i) a maximum contaminant level; (ii) a treatment technique; and (iii) an action level.			25	
2b	The project area is confined by and benefiting specific census tracts that have a percentage of population that is below the poverty level which is greater than the state-wide percentage of population below the poverty level.			25	
2c	Quality Deficiencies:				
2c	Was the Applicant required to provide a Public Notification (PN) to its consumers during the past three years for exceeding a drinking water standard in accordance with State or Federal Regulations?			100	
2c	Does the project description stated in the application address the compliance issue for which the PN was required?			50	

2c	INFORMATION received internally from the Office of Drinking Water during ranking. Does the Applicant meet or exceed the EPA's Electronic Tacking Tool (ETT)s compliance score of 11? SCORE: _____			30	
2c	According to sample results, does the water system exceed any Secondary Standards?			45	
2c	Does the proposed project address issues related to any documented complaints about taste, color and/or odor?			35	
2c	Have any monitoring or reporting violations been issued in the past three years?			40	
2d	System Information:				
2d	Population: 10,000 or more			10	
2d	Population: Between 5,001 – 9,999			10	
2d	Population: Between 3,301 – 5,000			10	
2d	Population: Between 500 – 3,300			20	
2d	Population: Less than 500			40	
2d	System Type: Municipal			10	
2d	System Type: Community			10	
2d	System Type: Non-Profit Non-Transient Non-Community			5	
2d	System Type: Non-Profit Transient Non-Community			5	
2e	Regionalization:				
2e	Is the Applicant providing water to a non-complying water system?			50	
2e	Is the Applicant providing water to an area of existing <i>private wells</i> with water quality deficiencies?			50	
2e	Will this project result in the consolidation of complying water systems?			70	
2e	Will this project result in a potential interconnection with another water system?			30	
2f	Storage:				
2f	Does this project address repair of a degraded storage facility?			50	
2f	Does this project address acute quantity deficiencies such as:				
2f	Water pressure below 25 psi?			50	
2f	Lack of adequate supply?			50	
2f	Does the project address chronic quantity deficiencies such as:				
2f	Lack of adequate storage?			40	
2f	Water shortages during peak demand?			40	
2f	Does the project address the lack of critical redundancy in storage?			30	
2g	Distribution (indicate all distribution upgrades below):				
2g	Will the project directly remedy upgrades to Inadequate intake (surface water only)?			80	
2g	Addressing failing transmission mains?			80	
2g	Addressing failing distribution mains?			80	
2g	Addressing lack of critical redundancy?			80	
2g	Lead Component Service Line Replacement?			100	
2g	Meter installation (categorically green)?			60	
2g	Meter replacement (categorically green)?			60	
2g	Hydrant installation?			40	
2g	Hydrant replacement?			40	
2g	Valve installation?			30	
2g	Valve replacement?			30	

2g	Other?			20	
2h	Treatment (indicate all treatment upgrades below):				
2h	Will the project directly remedy the contaminant exceedance or non-compliance with treatment upgrades?			100	
2h	Backwash Recovery System Installation?			30	
2h	Degraded facility?			30	
2h	Faulty pumping station?			20	
2h	Inaccurate controls/SCADA?			20	
2h	Inadequate disinfection?			30	
2h	Inadequate corrosion control?			20	
2h	Inadequate nitrate removal?			30	
2h	Inadequate filtration?			30	
2h	Ineffective backflow prevention?			20	
2h	Lack of critical redundancy?			30	
2h	Unreliable emergency power?			30	
2h	Other?			20	
2i	Source (indicate all source upgrades below):				
2i	Inadequate source?			100	
2i	Replacement of contaminated source?			100	
2i	Source Water protection/Well Field Development?			50	
2i	Other?			50	
2j	Sustainability:				
2j	Will the applicant's 5-year Capitalization plan or similar document be provided with the full application?			40	
2j	Does this project have a joint CWSRF project that will occur simultaneously?			30	
2j	Does this project consider other relevant community sustainability priorities from other sectors, such as agriculture, transportation, housing, and/or financing?			30	
2j	Does this project make use of technologies and practices to reduce energy and/or water consumption or use renewable energy?			30	
2j	Does this project reduce the vulnerability of the infrastructure from extreme events and increase resilience to future events?			30	
2j	Is the project scope to prepare for future requirements?			10	
2j	Was the project on the previous year's Project Priority List?			10	
2j	Is this a phased project covering several years?			10	
2j	Is the Applicant active in other DWSRF grant programs?			10	
2j	Does the Applicant's rate structure promote conservation?			20	
2j	Are the Applicant's rates reviewed and assessed on a regular basis?			20	
2j	Consumer Confidence Report?			10	
2j	Cross Connection Control Plans?			10	
2j	Cyber Security/ Vulnerability Assessment and Plans?			10	
2k	Safety and Vulnerability:				
2k	Is the project intended to address safety projects such as lights and cameras or alarm systems?			20	