

Delaware Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Ranking Criteria

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name of Project:** |  |
| **Name of Municipality or Qualified Agency:** |  |
| **Date of Review:** |  |
| **Reviewed By:** |  |

If the answer is **yes**, award the maximum points allowed.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Item** | **Criteria** | **Yes** | **No** | **Max Points** | **Points Awarded** |
| 2a | **Growth:**  Is this project primarily for growth?  If yes, it is not an eligible project and review can end here. |  |  | 0 |  |
| 2b | **Affordability/Disadvantaged Community Considerations:** |  |  |  |  |
|  | Divide the Average Annual Household Water Bill by the Median Household  Income and multiply by 100%:  2.50% or higher? |  |  | 75 |  |
| 2b | 2.00 – 2.49% |  |  | 50 |  |
| 2b | 1.50 – 1.99% |  |  | 25 |  |
| 2b | Less than 1.50% |  |  | 0 |  |
| 2b | The project is identified by the EPA EJScreen Tool at 90% (USA) Percentile or Higher for Environmental Justice Indexes or for “Low Income” under  the Socioeconomic Indexes. |  |  | 25 |  |
| 2b | The project is identified as disadvantaged by the White House Climate and  Economic Screening Tool. |  |  | 25 |  |
| 2b | The project is considered underserved, meaning it does not have household drinking water or wastewater services; or is served by a public water system that violates, or exceeds, as applicable, a requirement of a national primary drinking water regulation issued under section 1412, including— (i) a maximum contaminant level; (ii) a treatment technique; and (iii) an action  level. |  |  | 25 |  |
| 2b | The project area is confined by and benefiting specific census tracts that have a percentage of population that is below the poverty level which is greater than the state-wide percentage of population below the poverty  level. |  |  | 25 |  |
| 2c | **Quality Deficiencies:** |  |  |  |  |
| 2c | Was the Applicant required to provide a Public Notification (PN) to its  consumers during the past three years for exceeding a drinking water standard in accordance with State or Federal Regulations? |  |  | 100 |  |
| 2c | Does the project description stated in the application address the compliance issue for which the PN was required? |  |  | 50 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Item** | **Criteria** | | **Yes** | | **No** | | | **Max Points** | **Points Awarded** | |
| 2c | ***INFORMATION received internally from the Office of Drinking Water***  ***during ranking***. Does the Applicant meet or exceed the EPA’s Electronic Tacking Tool (ETT)s compliance score of 11? SCORE: | |  | |  | | | 30 |  | |
| 2c | According to sample results, does the water system exceed any Secondary  Standards? | |  | |  | | | 45 |  | |
| 2c | Does the proposed project address issues related to any documented  complaints about taste, color and/or odor? | |  | |  | | | 35 |  | |
| 2c | Have any monitoring or reporting violations been issued in the past three  years? | |  | |  | | | 40 |  | |
| 2d | **System Information:** | |  | |  | | |  |  | |
| 2d | Population: 10,000 or more | |  | |  | | | 10 |  | |
| 2d | Population: Between 5,001 – 9,999 | |  | |  | | | 10 |  | |
| 2d | Population: Between 3,301 – 5,000 | |  | |  | | | 10 |  | |
| 2d | Population: Between 500 – 3,300 | |  | |  | | | 20 |  | |
| 2d | Population: Less than 500 | |  | |  | | | 40 |  | |
| 2d | System Type: Municipal | |  | |  | | | 10 |  | |
| 2d | System Type: Community | |  | |  | | | 10 |  | |
| 2d | System Type: Non-Profit Non-Transient Non-Community | |  | |  | | | 5 |  | |
| 2d | System Type: Non-Profit Transient Non-Community | |  | |  | | | 5 |  | |
| 2e | **Regionalization:** | |  | |  | | |  |  | |
| 2e | Is the Applicant providing water to a non-complying water system? | |  | |  | | | 50 |  | |
| 2e | Is the Applicant providing water to an area of existing *private wells*  with water quality deficiencies? | |  | |  | | | 50 |  | |
| 2e | Will this project result in the consolidation of complying water  systems? | |  | |  | | | 70 |  | |
| 2e | Will this project result in a potential interconnection with another  water system? | |  | |  | | | 30 |  | |
| 2f | **Storage:** | |  | |  | | |  |  | |
| 2f | Does this project address repair of a degraded storage facility? | |  | |  | | | 50 |  | |
| 2f | Does this project address acute quantity deficiencies such as: | |  | |  | | |  |  | |
| 2f | Water pressure below 25 psi? | |  | |  | | | 50 |  | |
| 2f | Lack of adequate supply? | |  | |  | | | 50 |  | |
| 2f | Does the project address chronic quantity deficiencies such as: | |  | |  | | |  |  | |
| 2f | Lack of adequate storage? | |  | |  | | | 40 |  | |
| 2f | Water shortages during peak demand? | |  | |  | | | 40 |  | |
| 2f | Does the project address the lack of critical redundancy in storage? | |  | |  | | | 30 |  | |
| 2g | **Distribution (indicate all distribution upgrades below):** | |  | |  | | |  |  | |
| 2g | Will the project directly remedy upgrades to Inadequate intake  (surface water only)? | |  | |  | | | 80 |  | |
| 2g | Addressing failing transmission mains? | |  | |  | | | 80 |  | |
| 2g | Addressing failing distribution mains? | |  | |  | | | 80 |  | |
| 2g | Addressing lack of critical redundancy? | |  | |  | | | 80 |  | |
| 2g | Lead Component Service Line Replacement? | |  | |  | | | 100 |  | |
| 2g | Meter installation (categorically green)? | |  | |  | | | 60 |  | |
| 2g | Meter replacement (categorically green)? | |  | |  | | | 60 |  | |
| 2g | Hydrant installation? | |  | |  | | | 40 |  | |
| 2g | Hydrant replacement? | |  | |  | | | 40 |  | |
| 2g | Valve installation? | |  | |  | | | 30 |  | |
| **Item** | | **Criteria** | | **Yes** | | **No** | **Max Points** | | | **Points Awarded** | |
| 2g | | Valve replacement? | |  | |  | 30 | | |  | |
| 2g | | Other? | |  | |  | 20 | | |  | |
| 2h | | **Treatment (indicate all treatment upgrades below):** | |  | |  |  | | |  | |
| 2h | | Will the project directly remedy the contaminant exceedance or non-compliance with treatment upgrades? | |  | |  | 100 | | |  | |
| 2h | | Backwash Recovery System Installation? | |  | |  | 30 | | |  | |
| 2h | | Degraded facility? | |  | |  | 30 | | |  | |
| 2h | | Faulty pumping station? | |  | |  | 20 | | |  | |
| 2h | | Inaccurate controls/SCADA? | |  | |  | 20 | | |  | |
| 2h | | Inadequate disinfection? | |  | |  | 30 | | |  | |
| 2h | | Inadequate corrosion control? | |  | |  | 20 | | |  | |
| 2h | | Inadequate nitrate removal? | |  | |  | 30 | | |  | |
| 2h | | Inadequate filtration? | |  | |  | 30 | | |  | |
| 2h | | Ineffective backflow prevention? | |  | |  | 20 | | |  | |
| 2h | | Lack of critical redundancy? | |  | |  | 30 | | |  | |
| 2h | | Unreliable emergency power? | |  | |  | 30 | | |  | |
| 2h | | Other? | |  | |  | 20 | | |  | |
| 2i | | **Source (indicate all source upgrades below):** | |  | |  |  | | |  | |
| 2i | | Inadequate source? | |  | |  | 100 | | |  | |
| 2i | | Replacement of contaminated source? | |  | |  | 100 | | |  | |
| 2i | | Source Water protection/Well Field Development? | |  | |  | 50 | | |  | |
| 2i | | Other? | |  | |  | 50 | | |  | |
| 2j | | **Sustainability:** | |  | |  |  | | |  | |
| 2j | | Will the applicant’s 5-year Capitalization plan or similar document be provided with the full application? | |  | |  | 40 | | |  | |
| 2j | | Does this project have a joint CWSRF project that will occur simultaneously? | |  | |  | 30 | | |  | |
| 2j | | Does this project consider other relevant community sustainability priorities from other sectors, such as agriculture, transportation,  housing, and/or financing? | |  | |  | 30 | | |  | |
| 2j | | Does this project make use of technologies and practices to reduce energy and/or water consumption or use renewable energy? | |  | |  | 30 | | |  | |
| 2j | | Does this project reduce the vulnerability of the infrastructure from extreme events and increase resilience to future events? | |  | |  | 30 | | |  | |
| 2j | | Is the project scope to prepare for future requirements? | |  | |  | 10 | | |  | |
| 2j | | Was the project on the previous year's Project Priority List? | |  | |  | 10 | | |  | |
| 2j | | Is this a phased project covering several years? | |  | |  | 10 | | |  | |
| 2j | | Is the Applicant active in other DWSRF grant programs? | |  | |  | 10 | | |  | |
| 2j | | Does the Applicant’s rate structure promote conservation? | |  | |  | 20 | | |  | |
| 2j | | Are the Applicant’s rates reviewed and assessed on a regular basis? | |  | |  | 20 | | |  | |
| 2j | | Consumer Confidence Report? | |  | |  | 10 | | |  | |
| 2j | | Cross Connection Control Plans? | |  | |  | 10 | | |  | |
| 2j | | Cyber Security/ Vulnerability Assessment and Plans? | |  | |  | 10 | | |  | |
| 2j | | Has the borrower submitted a LSLI to the Office of Drinking Water? | |  | |  | 20 | | |  | |
| 2k | | **Safety and Vulnerability:** | |  | |  |  | | |  | |
| 2k | | Is the project intended to address safety projects such as lights and  cameras or alarm systems? | |  | |  | 20 | | |  | |
| 2l | | **Readiness to Proceed:** | |  | |  |  | | |  | |
| 2l | | Is the project intended to begin within one year? | |  | |  | 20 | | |  | |